Thank you Mr Chair
We agree with many previous speakers who have rightfully highlighted that we cannot engage in a theoretical discussion and end up with a treaty with limited implementation. In order for this treaty to be impactful, all discussions on obligations must carefully take requisite means of implementation into consideration. and we support the position taken by the distinguished delegate from Egypt who highlighted that we must have assessment of developing country needs that would enable implementation of this treaty.
Additionally, we believe that research and development is important to advance our ability to manage this significant challenge. But the development of technical solutions to our plastic waste challenge will only be as strong as the technical assistance and cooperation provided to allow equitable access to these technologies. Furthermore, technological solutions must be comprehensive without limiting them to certain types, since the capabilities and infrastructure differ from one country to another.

With regards to finance in this treaty, we believe that this must follow other multilateral treaties that honor the common but differentiated responsibilities as set out in Principle 7 of The Rio Declaration, with financial flows from developed to developing countries. with regard to the polluter pay principle and Extended producer responsibility, we believe that this is not a substitute to financial obligations of developed countries. these are also new ideas that are not well defined in this process and we strongly oppose their inclusion at this time in any documents produced until there is a common understanding of what is meant by these terms.
We would also like to support the call made by Cameroon to establish a CG to discuss means of implementation.